



[Ver en Español](#)

Operation: Ranch Final Audit Report Audit Report Summary	CB Registration No. NCSI-PGFS-384-2 PrimusGFS ID #38234 Audited by NCSI Americas Inc.	PrimusGFS Version 1.6 – Feb 10
---	--	---

Organization:	Danna Farms	
	Contact(s): Michael Ray	
	Address: 20073 Little John Rd. 95215	
	Location: Stockton, California, United States	
	Phone: 209-602-0604	
Ranch:	Danna Farms	
	Contact: Michael Ray	
	Location: 20073 Little John Rd. 95215 Stockton, California, United States	
Shipper:	Danna Farms	
Operation Type:	Ranch	
Audit Scope:	Kilkery/ Hamberger ranch is surrounded by other ranches (with crops such as Walnuts, Prunes, Wheat, Alfalfa, among others). The block 44 (66 acres) for Squash was inspected at day of the audit. Also, in this block was inspected the harvest crew, Chavez Farm Labor Contractor Inc., in charge of Nicolas Gomez.	
Date Audit Started:	09/05/2013 09:00	
Date Audit Finished:	09/05/2013 15:00	
Product(s):	Squash, Cantaloupe	
Auditor:	Alvaro Soto (NCSI Americas Inc.)	
Audit Percentage Score:	97.23%	
Score after acceptance of corrective actions:	99.23%	Click here to see Corrective Action Activity
GPS Coordinates:	Latitude:	Longitude:
	39° 0' 38"	-121° 33' 60"
	39° 0' 42"	-121° 33' 41"
	39° 1' 8"	-121° 33' 41"
	39° 1' 8"	-121° 33' 52"
	39° 1' 1"	-121° 33' 58"
	39° 0' 57"	-121° 34' 28"
	39° 1' 7"	-121° 34' 18"
	39° 1' 16"	-121° 34' 18"
	39° 1' 16"	-121° 34' 38"
	39° 1' 13"	-121° 34' 42"
	39° 1' 4"	-121° 34' 40"
	38° 57' 47"	-121° 33' 57"
	38° 57' 47"	-121° 33' 20"
	38° 58' 0"	-121° 33' 20"
	38° 58' 1"	-121° 33' 57"
	38° 57' 33"	-121° 34' 23"
	38° 57' 54"	-121° 34' 31"
	38° 57' 54"	-121° 34' 36"
	38° 57' 33"	-121° 34' 32"
Certificate Link:	View Certificate	

Audit Scoring Summary	Pre-Corrective Action Review	Post-Corrective Action Review
Food Safety Management System Requirements	Score: 164 Possible Points: 172 Percent Score: 95.35%	Score: 172 Possible Points: 172 Percent Score: 100.00%
Good Agricultural Practices Requirements	Score: 715 Possible Points: 732 Percent Score: 97.68%	Score: 725 Possible Points: 732 Percent Score: 99.04%
Total:	Score: 879 Possible Points: 904 Percent Score: 97.23%	Score: 897 Possible Points: 904 Percent Score: 99.23%

Non-Conformance Summary By Count	Pre-Corrective Action Non-Conformances	Post-Corrective Action Non-Conformances (NC's without completed CA's)
Food Safety Management System Requirements	2	0
Good Agricultural Practices Requirements	3	1
Total:	5	1

Sections:

Food Safety Management System Requirements

[Management System](#)

[Records Requirements](#)

[Procedures and Corrective Actions](#)

[Internal and external inspections](#)

[Rejection and release of product](#)

[Supplier Monitoring](#)

[Traceability and Recall](#)

[Product testing](#)

Good Agricultural Practices Requirements

[General GAP](#)

[Site Identification](#)

[Ground History](#)

[Adjacent land use](#)

[Fertilizer/Crop Nutrition](#)

[Irrigation/Water Use](#)

[Crop Protection](#)

[Field Employee Hygiene \(Applies to on-the-farm or greenhouse workers not the harvesting workers\)](#)

FSMS – Management System

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.01.01	Is there a documented food safety policy detailing the company's commitment to food safety?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, commitment with food safety is signed by Mr. Joseph Danna, Vice President of Danna Farms Inc. Who is committed to ensuring the food safety of all its products and continued improvement.	
1.01.02	Is there a food safety manual or other documented food safety management system covering the scope of business included in this audit and procedures/instructions for all food safety processes?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, during the audit were observed procedures, programs and records related with food safety in the field and at the main office.	
1.01.03	Is there a detailed organizational structure chart of all employees whose activities affect food safety?	Total Compliance	3	3	Yes, charts, alternates, functions and responsibilities are on file. Chart defines Mr. Joseph Danna as the vice president of the company as food safety in charge and Mr. Michael Ray as food safety consultant responsible.	
1.01.04	Is there a food safety committee and are there logs of food safety meetings with topics covered and attendees?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, food safety committee meeting logs on file. Document "Meeting Minute Log" include to Mr. Steve Danna (President), Mr. Joseph Danna (Vice President) and Mr. Michael Ray (Food Safety Consultant) as participants, date 8/30/13.	
1.01.05	Is there documented management verification of the entire food safety management system on at least an annual basis?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, management verification was 8/02/2013 for Verification Review of Food Safety Program Manual and 8/30/13 for Verification Review of Records, signed by Mr. Joseph Danna, with topics as GAP's, training records, PPP procedures, among others.	
1.01.06	Is there a documented analysis detailing resources required to implement and improve the food safety management system processes with documented commitment from senior management to provide these resources?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, there is a documented (a list) analysis of resources (training in hygiene, application equipment, harvest crews, cleaning service of bathrooms, among others.) detailing resources and corrective actions are required only for	

FSMS – Records Requirements

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.02.01	Are all records free of "correction fluid" (white out), pencil text and erasable ink text? If using computerized records, is there a system that shows record amendments (data history) if the records are changed after initial entry?	Total Compliance	3	3	Yes, no issues seen on records.	
1.02.02	Are all monitoring and process control records stored for a minimum period of a year or for at least the shelf life of product if greater than a year?	Total Compliance	3	3	Yes, records are kept for two years (verbal confirmation).	
1.02.03	Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, procedures and policies are kept in the main office available for users. Copies are given to the different users, e.g. harvest crew had hygiene SOP's.	

FSMS – Procedures and Corrective Actions

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.03.01	Are there written Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) that detail work instructions for food safety related activities performed in the field operations?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, procedures are part of the binder as Food Safety Program for Cantaloupes & Squash and Food Safety Record Book.	
1.03.02	Are there written Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) that detail work instructions for food safety related activities in the facility operations?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. No facilities into the scope of this audit.	
1.03.03	Is there a corrective action procedure that describes the requirements for follow up and prevention of future occurrences?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, procedures on file. There are documents as SOPs and Corrective Actions Notification (Topics as, name, date, area, supervision by the food safety manager, food safety consultant, among others).	

FSMS – Internal and external inspections

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.04.01	Is there a program for periodic self-inspections of the field operations covering any process impacting food safety and are records maintained detailing corrective actions? For Field (GAP option) this includes the growing and harvesting practices and all the relate documentation and records generated.	Total Compliance	10	10	Yes, self inspection are based on pre-season inspection, annually, self audit using a check list developed by themselves. These are signed (8/20/13) and revised by Mr. Joseph Danna.	
1.04.02	Is there a program for periodic self-inspections of the facility operations covering any process impacting food safety and are records maintained detailing corrective actions? For Facility (GMP option) includes the observation of the facility practices and all the relate documentation and records generated.	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. No facilities into the scope of this audit.	
1.04.03	Are there written procedures for handling regulatory inspections?	Non-Compliance	0	3	No Compliance. There is not a written procedure for handling Regulatory inspections.	
1.04.04	Are there records of regulatory inspections and/or contracted inspections, company responses and corrective actions, if any?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. Is the first audit to PrimusGFS and they have had no regulatory inspections.	
1.04.05	Are there documented policies and/or procedures for the calibration for measuring and monitoring devices used in the field operations such as fertilizer and crop protection application equipment, and other equipment related to the safety of the product?	Total Compliance	10	10	Yes, there are procedures issue by grower for sprayer. Records of calibration were on file, Air Blast Sprayer Calibration (date, equipment, responsible, method, among others).	
1.04.06	Are there documented policies and/or procedures for the calibration for measuring and monitoring devices used in the facility operations such as chemical application equipment, thermometers, metal detectors, ORP meters, pH meters and other equipment related to the safety of the product	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. No facilities into the scope of this audit.	

FSMS – Rejection and release of product

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.05.01	Is there a written procedure for handling on hold or rejected products?	Total Compliance	10	10	Yes, document "Food Safety Program Non Conforming Product" and "Contamination Report" details the procedure.	
1.05.02	Are product release procedures implemented (e.g. lot signed out, when a product lot sample is undergoing an analysis, etc.) and are records available for review?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Scored is not affected. No evidence of product release implemented.	
1.05.03			10	10		

Is there a documented system for dealing with customer complaints and buyer food safety complaints and are those on file, along with company responses, including corrective actions?

Total Compliance

Yes, procedure on file. "Complaint SOPs" and "Complaint Form" considers all the information required to process properly a complaint.

FSMS – Supplier Monitoring

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.06.01	Are there current written specifications for all ingredients, materials, products and services purchased &/or provided that relate to product safety, are they easily accessed and there is a review process in place for the specifications?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, there are written specifications for goods and services suppliers e. g., harvest crew (business license, liability insurance), suppliers of chemicals, fertilizers and pesticides, (letters of guarantee, quality, service).	
1.06.02	Is there a list of approved suppliers?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, list is on document on Food Safety Record Book.	
1.06.03	Is there a written procedure detailing the selection, evaluation, approval and monitoring process of approved suppliers?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, procedure on file.	
1.06.04	Does the organization have documented evidence to ensure that raw material, processing aids and ingredients suppliers comply with specifications, regulatory requirements and best practice guidelines?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. No raw material is purchased or received from external companies.	
1.06.05	Does the organization have documented evidence to ensure that packaging, materials and services suppliers comply with specifications, regulatory requirements and best practice guidelines?	Total Compliance	15	15	Yes, e. g. for Harvest Crew subcontracted (José Luis Chavez) license, certificate of liability and insurance. For chemicals supplier (Grower AG Services), letters of guarantee, quality, service, among others.	
1.06.06	Are appropriate supplier controls in place (e.g. results of pesticide multi-residue analysis) to ensure product pesticide residues of raw material/ingredients do not exceed published MRLs?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. No raw material is purchased or received from external companies.	

FSMS – Traceability and Recall

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.07.01	Is there a documented account that indicates how the company product tracking system works, thereby enabling trace back and trace forward to occur in the event of a potential recall issue?	Total Compliance	10	10	Yes, document "Product Recall Procedure" details the tracking system. Is in binder Food Safety Program for Cantaloupes & Squash.	
1.07.02	Does the organization have a documented recall program including: procedures, recall team roles and contact details, external contact listings, explanation of different types (classes) of recalls?	Total Compliance	15	15	Yes, the procedure considers internal and external contacts, recall team contacts, responsible, customer contact detail, among others.	
1.07.03	Is testing of recall procedures (including trace back) performed and documented annually? Can the company identify where affected product was sent?	Total Compliance	10	10	Yes, Mock recall considers scenario (exceeded pesticides), responsible (Mr. Joseph Danna), customer (California Fresh), date (8/28/13), time (less than 2 hours), lot affected (lot 44), quantity (48 bins; 100% was located), category (I), among others.	
1.07.04	Is there a daily incidents report, sometimes called a Notice(s) of Unusual Occurrence and Corrective Actions Log (NUOCA) ?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, if it occurs an unusual incident, is recorded in the document "Corrective Action Notification".	

FSMS – Product testing

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.08.01	Based on risk assessment, is there scheduled testing program for raw materials, work in progress, packaging and finished goods?	Total Compliance	5	5	Yes, document on file. "Food Safety Program HACCP" and "Manage System Production Summary" details a specific risk assessment (e. g., field, irrigation/water supplier, planting to harvest, harvest to packing/warehouse) concludes that it is not necessary to test products. Signed by Mr. Steve Danna (President), Mr. Joseph Danna (vice President; Food Safety Responsible) and Mr. Michael Ray (Food Safety Consultant Responsible).	
1.08.02	If test are necessary from the risk assessment, is there evidence of the test results for raw materials, work in progress, packaging and finished goods, at the scheduled frequencies and with follow-up for identified deviations?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Scored is not affected. Is not considerate necessary. Refer to 1.08.01.	
1.08.03	Are testing and analysis performed by licensed/accredited laboratories (e.g. ISO 17025 or equivalent, National Regulations, State Department, etc.)?	Non-Compliance	0	5	No Compliance, there is not certified or licensed for Cranmer Engineering Lab (water analysis).	

GAP – General GAP

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.01.01	Is there a designated person responsible for the food safety program in the field?	Yes	10	10	Yes, vice president of the company Mr. Joseph Danna from Danna Farms Inc. is the person in charge and, Michael Ray is food safety consultant responsible from Golden Harvest Safety.	

GAP – Site Identification

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.02.01	Is the growing area(s) adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recall?	Yes	15	15	Yes, they use a code number for the site properly indicated on the map, 6 (92 acres) and 42 (85.5 acres) for Cantaloupes and 12B (20 acres) and 44 (66 acres) for Squash.	

GAP – Ground History

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.03.01	Were farming area(s) used for growing food crops for human consumption last season?	Yes	0	0	Yes, there is a verbal confirmation by the consultant of the company, Mr. Michael Ray, indicating that the ground has been used for over 50 years for agricultural purposes.	
2.03.02	Has the growing area(s) been used for any non-agricultural functions? If No, go to 2.03.03	No	7	7	No, refer to 2.03.01.	
2.03.02a	If the land had been used previously for non-agricultural functions have soil tests been conducted showing soil was negative or within an appropriate regulatory agency's approved limits for contaminants?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.03	Has the growing area(s) been used for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals? If No, go to 2.03.04	No	7	7	No, no evidence of animal husbandry noted.	
2.03.03a	If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk evaluation been performed?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.04	Is there evidence of animal presence and/or animal activity in audited area? If answer is NO, go to 2.03.05	No	15	15	No, no significant evidence of animals.	
2.03.04a	Is the evidence of animal presence and/or animal activity found, in the form of fecal contamination? If answer is NO, go to 2.03.05	N/A	0	0		
2.03.04b	Is the fecal matter found in the audited area, a systematic event (not sporadic)? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED YES, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	N/A	0	0		
2.03.05	Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growing season? If No, go to 2.03.06	No	0	0	No, no evidence of flooding.	
2.03.05a	If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.05b	Have soil tests been conducted on the flooded area(s) showing soil was negative or within an appropriate regulatory agency's approved limits for contaminants?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.06	Is the growing operation under organic principles? If No, go to 2.03.07	No	0	0	No, crops under the conventional system.	
2.03.06a	Is current certification by an accredited organic certification organization on file and available for review?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.07	If the growing area(s) is a new purchase or lease, has a documented risk assessment been undertaken?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. Is not a new purchase or lease. Refer to 2.03.01.	

GAP – Adjacent land use

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.04.01	Is the adjacent land to the growing area a possible source of contamination from intensive livestock production (e.g. feed lots, dairy operations, poultry houses, meat rendering operation)? If No, go to 2.04.02	No	10	10	No, ranch is surrounded by other crops.	
2.04.01a	Have appropriate measures been taken to mitigate this possible contamination source onto the growing area (e.g. buffer areas, physical barriers, foundation, fences, ditches, etc.)?	N/A	0	0		
2.04.02	Are, or is there evidence of domestic animals, wild animals, grazing lands (includes homes with hobby farms, and non commercial livestock) in proximity to growing operation? If No, go to 2.04.03	No	10	10	No, refer to 2.04.01.	
2.04.02a	Have physical measures been put in place to restrain domestic animals, grazing lands, (includes homes with hobby farms, and non commercial livestock) and their waste from entering the growing area (e.g. vegetative strips, wind breaks, physical barriers, berms, fences, diversion ditches.)?	N/A	0	0		

2.04.02b	Is there a written policy supported by visual evidence that domestic, livestock, or wild animals are not allowed in the growing area? Note: This includes any packaging or equipment storage areas.	N/A	0	0	
2.04.02c	Are measures in place to reduce or limit the animal intrusion (i.e., monitoring field perimeter for signs of intrusion)?	N/A	0	0	
2.04.03	Are untreated animal manure piles, compost, biosolids, or nonsynthetic amendment stored and/or applied on adjacent land? If No, go to 2.04.04	No	10	10	No, no evidence of such products in adjacent land.
2.04.03a	Have physical measures been taken to secure untreated animal manure piles, compost, biosolids, or nonsynthetic amendment stored and/or applied on adjacent land?	N/A	0	0	
2.04.03b	If biosolids are stored and/or applied on adjacent land, has the adjacent landowner supplied paperwork confirming the biosolids meet prevailing guidelines, governmental, or local standards?	N/A	0	0	
2.04.04	Is the growing area situated in a higher risk location where contamination could occur from nearby operations or functions (e.g. leach fields, runoff or potential flooding from sewers, toilet systems, industrial facilities, labor camps)? If No, go to 2.04.05	No	10	10	No, the area is located in a place that no presents high risks of contamination, refer to 2.04.01.
2.04.04a	Have appropriate measures been taken to mitigate risks related to nearby operations?	N/A	0	0	
2.04.05	Is there evidence of human fecal matter in the adjacent land to the audited area? If NO, go to 2.05.01	No	15	15	No, not observed.
2.04.05a	Does the human fecal matter found in the adjacent area, represents a high risk to the crop for potential of contamination due to conditions as: lack of access controls (barriers), closeness to the growing area and equipment, crop type and maturity, land condition, and others?	N/A	0	0	

GAP – Fertilizer/Crop Nutrition

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.07.01	Is untreated human sewage sludge used in the growing cycle? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED YES, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	No	20	20	No, no evidence of human sewage uses noted.	
2.07.02	Is compost produced from animal derived materials used by the grower? If No, go to 2.07.03	No	0	0	No, compost is not used in this operation.	
2.07.02a	Are compost applications incorporated into the soil prior to planting or bud burst for tree crops and not applied during the growing season?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.02b	Are there compost use records available for each growing area, including application records which shows that the interval between application and harvest was not less than 45 days?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.02c	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (CoA) from the compost supplier(s) that covers pathogen testing (plus any other legally/best practice required testing) and does the grower have relevant letters of guarantee regarding SOP's and logs?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.02d	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the compost supplier(s) that covers heavy metal testing?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03	Are biosolids used? If No, go to 2.07.04. NOTE: Special attention to commodity specific guidelines rules (e.g., Californian Leafy Greens) which ban the use of biosolids, see 2.07.03d	No	0	0	No, not observed.	
2.07.03a	Are biosolids incorporated into the soil prior to planting or bud burst for tree crops and not applied during the growing season?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03b	Are the grower's biosolids use records available for each growing area, especially application records?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03c	Is there a Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA) from the biosolid supplier(s) certifying compliance with prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED NO, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03d	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the biosolid supplier(s) certifying compliance with prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines (heavy metal test analysis)?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03e	Are biosolids being applied to crops where the country of production regulations/guidelines ban the use such materials e.g. Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines in California? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED YES, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	N/A	0	0		
2.07.04	Is untreated animal manure used? If No, go to 2.07.05. NOTE: Special attention to commodity specific guidelines rules (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines) which ban the use of untreated animal manures. See 2.07.04d	No	15	15	No, not observed.	
2.07.04a	Is untreated animal manure incorporated into the soil prior to planting or bud burst for tree crops and not applied during the growing season?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.04b	Are there untreated animal manure records available for each growing area including application records which shows that the interval between application and harvest was not less than 120 days	N/A	0	0		

	(unless more stringent laws or guidelines exist)?					
2.07.04c	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), specification or some other document available for review provided by the untreated animal manure supplier stating the components of the material?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.04d	Are untreated animal manures being used where the country regulations/guidelines ban the use such materials (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines)? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED YES, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	N/A	0	0		
2.07.05	Are other nonsynthetic crop treatments used (e.g. compost teas, fish emulsions, fish meal, blood meal, "bio fertilizers")? If No, go to 2.07.06	No	0	0	No, not observed	
2.07.05a	Are nonsynthetic treatments that contain animal products or animal manures applied to the edible portions crops?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.05b	Are nonsynthetic crop treatment records available for each growing area including application records demonstrating the interval between application and harvest was not less than 45 days?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.05c	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis available from the nonsynthetic crop treatment suppliers that covers pathogen testing (plus any other legally/best practice required testing)?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.05d	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the nonsynthetic crop treatment suppliers that covers heavy metal testing?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.06	Are any soil or substrate amendments (except inorganic nutrients/fertilizers) used that do not contain animal products and/or animal manures? If No, go to 2.07.07	No	0	0	No, not observed.	
2.07.06a	Are the grower's soil or substrate amendment (except inorganic nutrients/fertilizers that do not contain animal products and/or animal manures) records available for review including application records?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.06b	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA) and/or letters of guarantee stating that the materials used are free from animal products and/or animal manures?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.07	Are inorganic fertilizers used? If No, go to 2.07.08	Yes	0	0	Yes, inorganic fertilizers are used.	
2.07.07a	Are the grower's inorganic fertilizer records available for review including application records?	Yes	10	10	Yes, records details date (07/21/2013), method (injection), applicator name (Guadalupe Beltran)), fertilizer (10-5-10), amount applied (80G x acre), crop (Cantaloupes), lot (6 ; 92 acres), among others.	
2.07.07b	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the inorganic fertilizer supplier(s) that specifies the source of all the ingredients including inert materials?	Yes	7	7	Yes, guarantee letters on file signed by Agrium US Inc., that indicates compliance with the standards of the California State laws, regulations of AAPFCO and provides not adulterated products.	
2.07.08	If fertilizers and/or fertilizer containers are stored on the property, are they stored in a manner to prevent contamination to the growing area(s) or any of water sources?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. Fertilizers are not stored en this ranch.	

GAP – Irrigation/Water Use

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.08.01	Does the growing operation practice dryland farming? If No, go to 2.08.02.	No	0	0	No, water sources of the operation are well and canals.	
2.08.01a	If the growing operation practices dryland farming, are there water systems used in the growing operation to supply for crop needs such as crop protection/fertilizer applications, and frost or freeze prevention program? If No, go to 2.08.02	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01b	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.01d	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01c	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01d	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01e	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01f	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02	Is the water used for the growing operation sourced from Municipal or District water pipeline systems? If No, go to 2.08.03	No	0	0	No, water sources of the operation are well and canals.	
2.08.02a	Are microbiological tests, including Generic E.coli conducted on water used for crop protection/fertilizer applications, and frost or freeze prevention program? If No, go to 2.08.02c	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02b	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02c	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02d		N/A	0	0		

	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?				
2.08.02e	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.02f	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.02g	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	N/A	0	0	
2.08.02h	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.02i	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.03	Is the water used in the growing operation sourced from wells? If No, go to 2.08.04	Yes	0	0	Yes, one well is used for fertilizer and crop protection application.
2.08.03a	Are all well heads an adequate distance from untreated manure?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. Manure is not stored at this operation.
2.08.03b	Is the well designed to prevent contamination?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. The auditor lack to check this requirement.
2.08.03c	Is it evident that the well(s) is free from contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of wells?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. The auditor lack to check this requirement.
2.08.03d	Are records kept for periodic inspections and treatment of wells (if performed) available for review?	Yes	7	7	Yes, monthly check program is maintained ("Water Usage Check Sheet") and recorded (6/01/13 – 7/17/13 – 8/11/13 – 9/03/13).
2.08.03e	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.03g	Yes	20	20	Yes, water is tested for E Coli and Total Coliform. Results are Absent for both, method SM(18)9223, units Presence/Absence per 100 ml.
2.08.03f	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Yes	15	15	Yes, test are conducted annually, before to use, according by the season for cantaloupes and squash.
2.08.03g	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Yes	10	10	Yes, procedure on file.
2.08.03h	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Yes	10	10	Yes, SOP's "AGRICULTURAL WATER" details the procedure for unsuitable results.
2.08.03i	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Not unsuitable results noted.
2.08.03j	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Refer to 2.08.03.
2.08.03k	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	N/A	0	0	N/A. Refer to 2.08.03.
2.08.03l	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Refer to 2.08.03.
2.08.03m	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Refer to 2.08.03.
2.08.04	Is the water used in the growing operation sourced from ponds, reservoirs, watersheds or other surface water source? If No, go to 2.08.05	No	0	0	No, refer to 2.08.03 and 2.08.05.
2.08.04a	Is surface water in adequate distance from untreated manure?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04b	Do animals (domestic, livestock, or wild) have access to the water source?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04c	Is it evident that the water source is free of contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of the water source?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04d	Are records kept for the periodic visual inspections and disinfection treatments (if used) available for review?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04e	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.04g	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04f	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04g	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04h	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04i	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04j	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04k	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04l	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.04m	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05	Is the water used in the growing operation sourced from canals, rivers, ditches, or other open flowing water systems? If No, go to 2.08.06	Yes	0	0	Yes, water sources of the operation are river and canals used for irrigation.
2.08.05a	Is surface water in adequate distance from untreated manure?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. Manure is not stored at this operation.
2.08.05b	Is the water source under the direction of a water authority or district?	Yes	5	5	Yes, the water source is under authority, verbal confirmation by the consultant of the company, Mr. Michael Ray.
2.08.05c	Do animals (domestic, livestock, or wild) have access to the water	Yes	0	7	Yes. The animals can have access to water, the

	source?					river is an open source.
2.08.05d	Is it evident that the water source is free of contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of the water source?	Yes	10	10		Yes, monthly check program is maintained ("Water Usage Check Sheet") and recorded (6/01/13 – 7/17/13 – 8/11/13 – 9/03/13).
2.08.05e	Are records kept for periodic visual inspection and disinfection (if occurring) of the water source and available for review?	Yes	7	7		Yes, refer to 2.08.05d.
2.08.05f	Are microbial tests, including Generic E.coli conducted on water used for irrigation, crop protection/fertilizer applications, and frost or freeze prevention program? If No, go to 2.08.05h	Yes	20	20		Yes, "Bacterial Report" (8/06/13) details testing for E Coli and Coliform Total. Results are 5.2 and 1299.7; respectively, method SM(18)9223, units MPN/100 ml.
2.08.05g	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Yes	15	15		Yes, Test are conducted annually, before to use, according by the season for cantaloupes and squash.
2.08.05h	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Yes	10	10		Yes, FGL Inc. provides procedures for sampling.
2.08.05i	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Yes	10	10		Yes, SOP's "AGRICULTURAL WATER" details the procedure for unsuitable results.
2.08.05j	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0		N/A. Not unsuitable results noted.
2.08.05k	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	No	0	0		No. Refer to 2.08.05m.
2.08.05l	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	No	0	0		No. Refer to 2.08.05m.
2.08.05m	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or furrow system?	Yes	0	0		Yes, a furrow system is used.
2.08.05n	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	No	0	0		No. Refer to 2.08.05m..
2.08.06	Is reclaimed water used in the growing operation? NOTE: This refers to wastewater that has gone through a treatment process. If No, go to 2.08.07.	No	0	0		No, water sources of the operation are well and canals.
2.08.06a	Is the reclamation process under the direction of a water reclamation management or authority?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06b	Are microbial control measures for reclaimed water utilized?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06c	Are microbial tests, including Generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.06e	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06d	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06e	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06f	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06g	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06h	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06i	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06j	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.06k	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07	Are tail water (run off water) systems used in the growing operation? If No, go to 2.08.08.	No	0	0		No, water sources of the operation are well and canals.
2.08.07a	Is surface water in adequate distance from untreated manure?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07b	Do animals (domestic, livestock, or wild) have access to the tail water systems?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07c	Is it evident that the water source is free of contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of the tail water system?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07d	Are records kept for periodic visual inspection and disinfection (if occurring) of the water source and available for review?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07e	Are microbial tests conducted, including Generic E.coli on water used for irrigation, crop protection/fertilizer applications, and frost or freeze prevention program? If No, go to 2.08.07g	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07f	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07g	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols and are the SOPs being implemented? NOTE: Irrespective of water source, samples for microbial testing should be taken at a point as close to the point of use as practical, so as to test both the water source and the water distribution system.	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07h	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07i	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07j	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.07k	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	N/A	0	0		

2.08.071	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or furrow system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07m	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.08	Are check valves, anti-siphon devices, or other back flow prevention systems in use when and where necessary?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. The auditor lack to check this requirement.
2.08.09	Is irrigation equipment that is not in use free from pest contamination and stored clean off the ground?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Scored is not affected. Equipment in not used in this operation..

GAP – Crop Protection

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.09.01	Is there a documented policy and/or procedures for the mixing/loading of crop protection materials?	No	0	5	No. Procedure for mixing and loading of pesticides is not available.	
2.09.01a	Is mixing, loading, or the dilution of crop protection materials performed safely and within a distance where the growing area and water sources are not affected?	N/A	0	0	N/A, score is not affected. Practice not observed at the time of the audit.	
2.09.02	Is there a documented policy and/or procedures for the rinsing and cleaning of crop protection equipment?	No	0	5	No. Procedure for rinsing and cleaning of pesticides is not available.	
2.09.02a	Is rinsing and cleaning of crop protection equipment performed safely and within a distance where land and water sources are not affected?	N/A	0	0	N/A, score is not affected. Practice not observed at the time of the audit.	
2.09.03	Is there documentation that shows the individual(s) making decisions for crop protection are qualified?	Yes	10	10	Yes, the "Private Applicator Certificate" in charge is Mr. Joseph Danna, license number PA-58-00026A, valid until 12/13/2015.	
2.09.04	Is there documentation that shows employees who handle crop protection materials are trained or are under the supervision of a trained individual?	Yes	15	15	Yes, the pesticide applicator, Guadalupe Beltran, was trained by Mr. Joseph Danna. Records are available, signed 8/02/2013.	
2.09.05	Does the growing operation follow a pesticide application recording program of all plant protection products (including soil and substrate pre-plant treatments)? If No, go to 2.09.06. IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED NO, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	Yes	20	20	Yes, records detail ID lot 44, product name (Rally 40 WSP), applicator (Guadalupe Beltran), time (10:00 am done), date (07/23/13), amount applied (5 Oz), among others.	
2.09.05a	Are crop protection application records up to date and available for review?	Yes	15	15	Yes, records are updated and available	
2.09.06	Has the growing operation got registration information available about the plant protection products registered for use for the target crops in the country of production? If N/A, go to 2.09.07. IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED NO, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	Yes	20	20	Yes, products applied have EPA registration for Squash. E.g. Rally 40 WSP Fungicide, EPA Reg No. 62719-410, Bifenture EC, EPA Reg No. 70506-57.	
2.09.06a	Are crop protection applications restricted by the guidelines established by the product label, manufacturer recommendation, or by prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED NO, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	Yes	20	20	Yes, doses are applied according label instructions.	
2.09.06b	Where harvesting is restricted by preharvest intervals (as required on the crop protection chemical product labels, manufacturer recommendations and/or by prevailing national/local standards) is the grower adhering to these pre-harvest interval time periods? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED NO, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	Yes	20	20	Yes, Document "Daily Field Inspection of Production Block", details they started to harvest 8/29/13. Product was applied 7/23/13 and has 7 days of PHT's, block ID 44.	
2.09.07	If applicable, for those plant protection products that are not registered for use on target crops in the country of production, if the country has no or a partial legislative framework to cover plant protection products, can the grower show that they have registration information, label information, MRL tolerances, etc. for the country of destination? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED NO, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT. If N/A, go to 2.09.08.	N/A	0	0	N/A. Score is not affected. The products observed are under EPA registration.	
2.09.07a	Is there evidence available that the grower is taking all the necessary measures to comply with the country(ies) of destination expectations regarding plant protection products use (registration information, label information, MRL tolerances and any other guidelines applicable)? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED NO, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	N/A	0	0		
2.09.08	Are employee reentry intervals established as required by the pesticide label, manufacturer recommendation, or by prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines?	Yes	10	10	Yes, Records details and consider re-entry time.	
2.09.09	When crop protection applications occur, does posting take place on area of treatment according to prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Scored is not affected. No applications conducted at the time of the audit.	
2.09.10	To avoid drift, are crop protection applications restricted when gusts are excessive?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Scored is not affected. Applications were not observed at the time of inspection.	
2.09.11	If crop protection containers are stored on the property (even temporarily), are they stored in a manner to prevent contamination and disposed of responsibly?	N/A	0	0	N/A. Scored is not affected. At this ranch are not stored PPP containers.	
2.09.12	Have documented policies and/or procedures been developed for the monitoring of crop protection application equipment (e.g. calibration procedures, inspections, replacement)?	Yes	10	10	Yes, document "Grower Equipment Calibration" details procedure to calibration for sprayer.	
2.09.12a		Yes	10	10		

Is it evident that the equipment used for crop protection applications is in good working order?

Yes, grower provides calibration records for sprayer.

GAP – Field Employee Hygiene (Applies to on–the–farm or greenhouse workers not the harvesting workers)						
Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.10.01	Does the growing operation have a documented and implemented policy for dealing with employees who appear to be physically ill, or become ill while working?	Yes	10	10	Yes, policy documented in the food safety manual, "Worker Hygiene".	
2.10.02	Does the growing operation have a documented and implemented policy regarding employees with open sores and wounds?	Yes	10	10	Yes, policy documented in the food safety manual, "Worker Hygiene".	
2.10.03	Does the growing operation have written procedures describing the disposition of product that has come into contact with blood or other bodily fluids? IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED NO, AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT WILL RESULT.	Yes	20	20	Yes, policy documented in the food safety manual, "Worker Hygiene".	
2.10.04	Does the growing operation have documented and implemented policies prohibiting eating, drinking (including gum chewing) using tobacco in the growing area?	Yes	10	10	Yes, policy documented in the food safety manual, "Worker Hygiene".	
2.10.05	Is there a food safety hygiene training program covering new and existing employees and are there records of these training events?	Yes	15	15	Yes, training records were on file.	
2.10.06	Are there operational toilet facilities provided? If NO, go to 2.10.07 IF THIS QUESTION IS ANSWERED NO, THE AUDIT WILL RESULT IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE.	Yes	20	20	Yes, farm workers use the toilets of main office and when they are in the field, portable toilets are provided.	
2.10.06a	Are the toilet facilities placed within ¼ mile or 5 minutes walking distance of all employees?	Yes	10	10	Yes, the toilet were near working areas.	
2.10.06b	Are toilet facilities in a suitable location to prevent contamination to product, packaging, equipment, and growing areas?	Yes	15	15	Yes, toilets are at the ranch yard away from ther crop.	
2.10.06c	Is a minimum of one toilet facility provided for each group of 20 employees?	Yes	5	5	Yes, enough portable toilet were on site.	
2.10.06d	Do toilet facilities have visuals or signs, written in the appropriate languages, reminding employees to wash their hands before returning to work?	Yes	20	20	Yes, signals outside the portables toilets indicate hand washing procedures.	
2.10.06e	Are the toilets maintained in a clean and sanitary condition and are there records showing toilet cleaning, servicing and stocking is occurring regularly?	Yes	10	10	Yes, cleaning records are sticked on the doors of the portables toilets.	
2.10.06f	Are the catch basins of the toilets designed and maintained to prevent contamination (e.g. free from leaks and cracks)?	Yes	5	5	Yes, not issues seen.	
2.10.06g	Is there is a documented and implemented procedure for emptying the catch basin in a hygienic manner and also in a way that prevent product, packaging, equipment and water systems and growing area contamination?	Yes	5	5	Yes, document "Procedure for Portable Toilets Contamination" indicates the cleaning procedures, water sources and spill control.	
2.10.07	Is there evidence of human fecal contamination in the growing area(s)? If this question is answered Yes, automatic failure of this audit will result.	No	20	20	No. No issues seen.	
2.10.08	Are there operational hand washing facilities provided? If No, go to 2.10.09	Yes	15	15	Yes, at the main office and sinks located in portable toilet.	
2.10.08a	Are the hand washing facilities placed within ¼ mile or 5 minutes walking distance of all employees?	Yes	10	10	Yes, they are located near by working areas.	
2.10.08b	Are hand wash stations clearly visible (e.g. situated outside the toilet facility) and easily accessible to workers?	Yes	5	5	Yes, they is located clearly visible outside of portable toilets.	
2.10.08c	Are hand wash stations properly stocked with soap, paper towels and trash can?	Yes	5	5	Yes, properly stocked.	
2.10.08d	Are the hand wash stations designed and being maintained to prevent contamination onto the growing area(s) (i.e. spent water does not go straight to the ground)?	Yes	5	5	Yes, no issues seen.	
2.10.08e	Does the growing operation have a documented and implemented policy and procedure in place requiring employees to wash their hands (e.g. prior to beginning work, after breaks, after toilet use)?	Yes	10	10	Yes, policy documented in the food safety manual.	
2.10.09	Is fresh potable drinking water provided for workers? If No, go to 2.10.10	Yes	10	10	Yes, fresh potable water is provided.	
2.10.09a	If used, are water containers maintained in a clean condition?	Yes	5	5	Yes, plastic container were clean.	
2.10.10	Are first–aid kits available and is the inventory maintained properly?	Yes	5	5	Yes, first aid kit was in site and properly maintained.	
2.10.11	Are there trash cans available on the field placed in suitable locations?	Yes	5	5	Yes, trash cans at the toilets facilities. There was not observed trash around.	
2.10.12	Are there any foreign material issues observed that are or could be potential risks to the product in the growing area(s) (e.g., jewelry)?	No	5	5	No. No issues seen.	
2.10.13	Is there a documented and implemented policy that infant or toddler aged children are not allowed in the growing area? NOTE: This includes any packaging or equipment storage areas.	Yes	10	10	Yes, policy documented in the food safety manual, specific document "Crop Security"	